

# Planning for the Future



**East Devon Local Development Framework: Issues and Options Consultation**

## **QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY**

**Please return your response to this consultation by:  
5.00pm on Friday 13 February 2009**

**Please see guidance notes for completing the questionnaire on the reverse of this sheet.**

Where practical and on request we will endeavour to make this and other LDF documents available in a range of formats, for example to include large print, braille, audio cassette and languages other than English.

If you require documents in any other format please contact:

The Planning Policy Section, East Devon District Council  
Council Offices, Knowle, Sidmouth  
Devon EX10 8HL

Tel: 01395 – 516551 : Fax: 01395 – 517532 : E-mail: [ldf@eastdevon.gov.uk](mailto:ldf@eastdevon.gov.uk)



**December 2008**

## About This Questionnaire

This questionnaire has been designed to ask key questions about the future of planning and development in East Devon. The questionnaire reproduces questions that feature in the **East Devon Local Development Framework Issue and Options Report** and the questionnaire provides response options for each question and allows comments to be made justifying responses. Please read the Issue and Options Report before or alongside the questions as it provides essential background information and includes relevant maps and diagrams. If possible we would ask you to respond to the questionnaire on-line by going to:

**[www.eastdevon.gov.uk-planning-limehouse-consultation-portal](http://www.eastdevon.gov.uk-planning-limehouse-consultation-portal)**

We are using on-line consultation for new policy documents and would encourage on-line responses as they provide the quickest, cheapest and most environmentally friendly way of making and handling comments. However if you are unable to respond on-line we would welcome your responses in written format.

Completed copies of this questionnaire and any enquiries should be sent to:

Planning Policy Section  
East Devon District Council  
Council Offices  
Knowle  
Sidmouth  
EX10 8HL

Telephone - 01395 - 516551

or email [ldf@eastdevon.gov.uk](mailto:ldf@eastdevon.gov.uk)

The deadline for comments is:

**5.00 pm on Friday 13 February 2009.**

Whilst we welcome response to all questions you may feel that you only wish to comment on certain questions or sections, perhaps those that are of most relevance or interest to you. Throughout the questionnaire please expand any answer boxes or continue on a separate sheet if you need extra space.

## QUESTIONNAIRE FOR RESPONSES TO:

# EAST DEVON LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK ISSUES AND OPTIONS REPORT

Please enter your details below.

Your Details		Your Agents Details (if you are using one)	
Title	Forename/Initial	Title	Forename/Initial
Surname		Surname	
Job Title/Position		Job Title/Position	
Organisation		Organisation	
Address		Address	
Postcode		Postcode	
Telephone		Telephone	
e-mail		e-mail	

Only include your Job Title/Position and Organisation if you are responding on behalf of an organisation. If you are acting on behalf of a client please include both their details and your details. Where an agent is listed all correspondence will be sent to the agent only.

### Confidentiality Issues

Please note that submissions/responses **will not be treated as confidential**. Submissions/responses received may be made available for public inspection and respondent's details and their responses may be added to a database which may be made available for public viewing over the internet.

## Chapter 2 Pen-Portrait of East Devon and Spatial Vision and Objectives

### Question 2.1 - The Core Strategy Vision

Do you consider that the vision is appropriate for East Devon? (please mark one box only)

Yes

No

Don't Know

Please provide comments below to justify your response and advise on any additional issues that you consider should be incorporated.

## Chapter 4 Evidence Base, Consultation and Public Involvement

### Question 4.1 - Community Consultation

Are there any other groups or bodies that you can identify that we should seek to hold specific consultation events with and are there particular types of consultation events that you would regard as appropriate?

## Chapter 5 Sustainable Development and Climate Change

### Question 5.1 - Low or Zero Carbon Development in East Devon's West End

Should the technical demands of achieving low or zero carbon development be given primary or significant weight in evaluating options for where and how additional development should take place in East Devon's West End? *(please mark one box only)*

Yes

No

Don't Know

Please provide comments below to justify your response

### Question 5.2 - Low or Zero Carbon Development elsewhere in East Devon

Should the technical demands of achieving low or zero carbon development be given primary or significant weight in evaluating options for where and how additional development should take place elsewhere in East Devon? *(please mark one box only)*

Yes

No

Don't Know

Please provide comments below to justify your response

### Question 5.3 - Renewable and Low-Carbon Energy Generation

Should specific areas or locations be identified in East Devon for renewable and low-carbon energy generation? *(please mark one box only)*

Yes

No

Don't Know

Please provide comments below to justify your response (comments are particularly sought on potential sources for renewable and low-carbon energy that could be promoted in East Devon and potential locations)

### Question 5.4 - On Site Renewable Energy Production

What levels of renewable energy production do you consider should be sought on future developments in East Devon?

*(please mark one box only)*

**High Renewable Energy Standards** - Set targets seeking more than 10% renewable energy production on development sites for all but the smallest development schemes (for example 20% generation on schemes of five dwellings or more or 500 SqM or more).

**Retain Current Renewable Energy Standards** - This approach would retain current policy and set targets seeking 10% renewable energy production on development site of 10 dwellings or more or on non-residential schemes 1,000 SqM floorspace or more.

**Do not require Renewable Energy Production on any Buildings** - Do not require on-site renewable energy production but allow for developers the choice of whether they wish to incorporate renewable energy technologies.

**An Alternative Approach** - Mark this box if you would favour an alternative approach and please provide details in the comments box below.

**Don't Know** – Please mark this box if you do not know or do not wish to express a view.

Please provide comments below to justify your response

## Chapter 6 Strategic Direction and Scale of Growth

<b>Question 6.1 - Future Levels of Residential Development</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Excluding development at Cranbrook and in East Devon's West End how many dwellings do you consider that we should seek to identify land for in East Devon for the period to 2026?	
<b>Aim to Provide around 3,100 dwellings</b> To Meet RSS Requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Aim to Provide more Dwellings than the RSS Requires</b> (if so please suggest an approximate appropriate number in the comments box below)	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Don't Know</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 6.2 - Future Distribution of Residential Development</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Excluding development at Cranbrook and in East Devon's West End how should we seek to distribute dwellings for the period to 2026?	
<b>Urban Focused</b> - Concentrate most development, 70% or more, in the towns of the District and a lower rural figure.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Historic Trends Approach</b> - Follow historic trends with around 50% to 70% of housing going into the towns and the rest into rural areas.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Dispersed Pattern</b> - With under 50% of housing going to the towns and most going to rural areas.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Don't Know</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 6.3 - Percentage Affordable Housing Requirement</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Across East Devon, what do you consider to be an appropriate affordable housing target as a percentage of all dwellings built?	
<b>From 40% up to 60%</b> If so please suggest an approximate appropriate percentage in the comments box below.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>40%</b> This would be in line with our current target on qualifying sites	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Less than 40%</b> If so please suggest an approximate appropriate percentage in the comments box below.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>None of these Approaches</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Don't Know</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 6.4 - Thresholds at which Affordable Housing is Required</b>		<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
On what size of development schemes should we seek affordable housing?		
<b>For all Housing Schemes Including Small Scale Developments</b> - This could mean a contribution requirement for small scale developments (perhaps even for single dwelling schemes)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>For schemes of Five Dwellings Or More</b> - This would be in line with our current rural areas policy but we could seek to apply it to it to all parts of East Devon.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>For schemes of Fifteen Dwellings Or More</b> - This would be in line with our current urban areas policy but we could seek to apply to apply it to all parts of East Devon.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>None of these Approaches</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Don't Know</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

<b>Question 6.5 - Non-Public Subsidy Approaches to Affordable Housing</b>		
Should we encourage non-subsidy based approaches to affordable housing provision? <i>(please mark one box only)</i>		
<b>Yes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<b>Don't Know</b>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response and If you answered yes do you think that we should direct development to particular types of locations or be flexible in where dwellings are built?		

<b>Question 6.6 - Future Employment Land Provision</b>		<i>Please mark one box only</i>
Excluding development at Cranbrook and in East Devon's West End do you consider that employment land allocations/provision levels should exceed the 25 hectares figure?		
<b>Yes</b> - This option could allow a wide range of employment sites to be allocated and would recognise that in the plan period not all sites may be developed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>No</b> - This option could potentially result in a shortage of employment sites in some areas and limit the range and options available for commercial users.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Neither of these Approaches</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

<b>Question 6.7 - Employment Provision in East Devon's Towns and Villages</b>		
Should greater employment opportunities be provided at East Devon settlements to ensure a better provision of job opportunities close to where people live and on public transport routes? <i>(please mark one box only)</i>		
<b>Yes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<b>Don't Know</b>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

**Question 6.8 - Mixed Use Developments**

Should mixed use developments be actively promoted? *(please mark one box only)*

Yes

No

Don't Know

Please provide comments to justify your answer and If you answered yes what sort of locations and what sorts of uses are liable to be compatible or not compatible with one another

## Chapter 7 Major Growth Points in East Devon's West End

**Question 7.1 - Employment Provision in East Devon's West End**

Do you consider that an additional 35 hectares land to that already identified for employment uses at the East Devon's West End is sufficient and should this aim to provide for all types of employment sectors?

**Question 7.2 - Employment in East Devon's West End**

Which option or options do you favour to meet RSS requirements for additional employment land in East Devon's West End?

*(Please mark as many boxes as you like)*

**Option 1** - Expansion of Skypark

**Option 2** - Expansion in the vicinity of the Proposed Science Park

**Option 3** - Expansion of the Airport Business Park

**Option 4** - Provision of further employment land at Cranbrook new community

**Option 5** – Provision of mixed employment and residential development (under this option employment provision would be located alongside/as part of any new major residential/mixed use scheme)

Please provide comments below to justify your response

<b>Question 7.3 - Future Residential Development at Cranbrook</b>		(Please mark as many boxes as you like)
If Cranbrook has to expand to accommodate an extra 4,600 dwellings please identify preferred options for expansion?		
<b>Option 1</b> - To the west (800 dwellings maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 2</b> - To the south west (1,800 dwellings maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 3</b> - To the south (1,000 dwellings maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 4</b> - To the north east (2,700 dwellings maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 5</b> - To the east (1,300 dwellings maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 6</b> - To the south east (1,400 dwellings maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 7</b> - To the east of the stream between Hand and Pen and Southbrook (1,100 dwellings maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 8</b> - To the east of the lane between Little Cobden and Crockernhayes (1,900 dwellings maximum)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

<b>Question 7.4 - Site Options for a Second New Community</b>		
Which option for a second new community do you favour to meet the RSS requirement for a 4,000 dwelling settlement by 2026 with the capability of expanding further in the long term? <i>Please mark one box only</i>		
<b>Option 1</b> – Development adjoining Pinhoe <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Option 2</b> - Clyst St Mary/Westpoint <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Option 3</b> - An alternative site <input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

<b>Question 7.5 - Potential Long Term Size of a Second New Community</b>		
What number of dwellings should the site identified for the second new community be capable in the long term of accommodating? <i>Please mark one box only</i>		
<b>6, 000 dwellings</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>10,000 dwellings</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>More than 10,000 + dwellings</b> <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>An alternative number of dwellings</b> (if you favour an alternative figure please include details below) <input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Don't Know</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

<b>Question 7.6 - An Urban Extension to Exeter</b>		<i>(Please mark one box only)</i>
Which option for an urban extension to Exeter do you favour if this is required to meet part of the RSS changes requirement for 4,000 dwellings in the East Area of Search 4B?		
<b>Option 1</b> - Land to the north east of Pinhoe between the B3181 and the M5 in the vicinity of Pinn Court Farm	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 2</b> - Land to the north east of Pinhoe on the north west side of the B3181 between the city boundary and Westclyst	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 3</b> - Land straddling the B3181 involving parts of Options 1 and 2 above	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Option 4</b> - An alternative site	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

## Chapter 8 Future Development on the A3052 Corridors

<b>Question 8.1 - Employment, Commercial and Tourism Development in the A3052 Corridor</b>
Should employment sites and other commercial/tourism business in the A3052 Corridor be expanded to meet employment needs and job creation opportunities?
Please provide comments below to justify your response

## Chapter 9 Axminster

<b>Question 9.1 - The Axminster Community Enterprise core priorities</b>		
Do you agree with the Axminster Community Enterprise (ACE) Core Priorities? <i>(please mark one box only)</i>		
Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		Don't Know
		<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

<b>Question 9.2 - Future Scale of Housing Development in Axminster</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of allocated/identified land to accommodate future residential development would you favour for Axminster?	
<b>Very High Levels</b> - A development of 1000 dwellings or higher (this scale of development or more might be needed for a bypass).	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>High Levels</b> - A development of 750 dwellings or higher (this scale of development or more might be needed for a bypass).	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Moderate Levels</b> - A development of around 200 to 400 dwellings would be unlikely to provide major infrastructure but would be in line with past development rates in the town.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Low Levels</b> - Low development levels, say under 200 dwellings, may meet some local housing need and would seem limited extensions to the town	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Don't Support Any of these Choices</b> Do not support or agree with any of these options.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 9.3 - Traffic Congestion in Axminster</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Which approach to alleviating traffic congestion in Axminster would you favour?	
<b>A north-south relief road</b> - This would be an expensive option and would require highway development on greenfield land.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Road improvements in the town</b> - It might be possible to undertake more modest 'in-town' improvements to existing highways to overcome town centre traffic problems.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Neither of these approaches</b> - Please mark this box if you consider that neither approach is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 9.4 - Future Employment and Commercial Development in Axminster</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of new employment and commercial provision would you favour in Axminster?	
<b>Significant Commercial Development</b> - This option could require significant greenfield development and could attract major inward investment.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Modest levels of Commercial Development</b> - This option would be likely to rely mostly on small scale development potentially just through in-filling in the town.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Neither of these approaches</b> - Please mark this box if you consider that neither approach is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 9.5 - Directions for Growth in Axminster</b>	<i>(please mark all the boxes you consider appropriate)</i>
Which broad areas of growth or development would you favour for Axminster?	
<b>Option 1</b> A northerly expansion to Axminster.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 2</b> An easterly expansion to Axminster.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 3</b> A southerly expansion to Axminster.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 4</b> Directing development into the already developed parts and areas of Axminster.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>None of these Options</b> Please mark this box if you consider that none of these choices is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

## Chapter 10 Budleigh Salterton

<b>Question 10.1 - Future Housing and Employment Development in Budleigh Salterton</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of allocated/identified land to accommodate future residential development (and employment and other developments) would you favour for Budleigh Salterton?	
<b>A modest level of development of 400 houses (of which 160 could be affordable).</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Limited level of development of up to 200 houses (of which 80 could be affordable).</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Neither of these options is appropriate.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response and comment on specific job sectors or areas that you consider could be appropriate for and attracted to Budleigh Salterton.	

## Chapter 11 Exmouth

<b>Question 11.1 - Future Scale of Housing Growth in Exmouth</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of allocated/identified land to accommodate future residential development would you favour for Exmouth?	
<b>Very High Levels</b> - A development of 2,000 dwellings or higher could help secure a large number of affordable homes and also further infrastructure in the town.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Moderate Levels</b> - A development of around 1,000 could provide some infrastructure and additional affordable housing.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Low Levels</b> - Low development levels, say around 500 dwellings would meet some local housing need.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Don't Support Any of these Choices</b> - Do not support or agree with any of these options.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 11.2 - Future Employment and Commercial Development in Exmouth</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of new employment and commercial provision would you favour in Exmouth?	
<b>Significant Employment Development</b> - This option could require significant greenfield development and could attract major inward investment.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Modest levels of Employment Development</b> - This option would be likely to rely on small scale greenfield development and in-filling in the town.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Neither of these Options</b> - Please mark this box if you think neither of these approaches is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 11.3 - Directions for Growth in Exmouth</b>	<i>(please mark all the boxes that you consider appropriate)</i>
Which broad areas of growth or development would you favour for Exmouth?	
<b>Option 1 - A northerly expansion to Exmouth</b> - Land north of Dinan Way between Marley Road and Hulham Road in the vicinity of Goodmore's Farm.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 2 - An easterly expansion to Exmouth</b> - Land in the vicinity of St John's Road	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 3 - A south-east expansion to Exmouth</b> - Land in the Littleham valley, outside the floodplain and National Trust land	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 4</b> - Directing development into the already developed parts and areas of Exmouth.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>None of these Options</b> - Please mark this box if you consider that none of these choices is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 11.4 - Dinan Way Completion</b>
Dinan Way can only be reasonably expected to be completed through developer contributions, should we therefore plan for its completion knowing that it will also require enabling development?
Please provide comments below

## Chapter 12 Honiton

<b>Question 12.1 - Future Scale of Housing Growth in Honiton</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of allocated/identified land to accommodate future residential development would you favour for Honiton?	
<b>Very High Levels</b> - A development of 1,000 dwellings or higher could help secure a larger number of affordable homes and also some infrastructure in the town. This scale of development, or much more, might be needed to deliver improvements to the A35 or a western bypass as envisaged in the Honiton Community Strategic Plan	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Moderate Levels</b> - A development of around 500 could provide some infrastructure and additional affordable housing.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Low Levels</b> - Low development levels, say around 250 dwellings would meet some local housing need.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>None of these Options</b> - Please mark this box if you consider that none of these choices is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 12.2 - Future Employment and Commercial Development in Honiton</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of new employment and commercial provision would you favour in Honiton?	
<b>Significant Employment Development</b> - This option could require significant greenfield development and could attract major inward investment.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Modest levels of Employment Development</b> - This option would be likely to rely on small scale greenfield development and in-filling in the town.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Neither of these Options</b> - Please mark this box if you think neither of these approaches is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 12.3 0 Bulky Goods Retailing in Honiton</b>
Should we plan to accommodate bulky goods retail development in Honiton and if so where might it be located?
Please provide comments below

<b>Question 12.4 - Directions for Growth in Honiton</b> Which broad areas of growth or development would you favour for Honiton?	<i>(please mark all the boxes that you consider appropriate)</i>
<b>Option 1</b> - A northerly expansion to Honiton, extending development into the Blackdown Hills AONB	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 2</b> - An easterly expansion to Honiton.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 3</b> - A southerly expansion to Honiton , extending development into the East Devon AONB	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 4</b> - A westerly expansion to Honiton.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 5</b> - Directing development into the already developed parts and areas of Honiton.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>None of these Options</b> - Please mark this box if you consider that none of these choices is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

## Chapter 13 Ottery St Mary

<b>Question 13.1 - Future Scale of Housing Growth in Ottery St Mary</b> What scale of allocated/identified land to accommodate future residential development would you favour for Ottery St Mary?	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
<b>High Levels</b> - A development of 500 dwellings or higher could help secure a larger number of affordable homes and also some infrastructure in the town.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Low Levels</b> - Low development levels, say around 250 dwellings would meet some local housing need.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Don't Support Either of these Choices</b> – Do not support or agree with any of these options.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 13.2 - Promoting Economic Activity in Ottery St Mary</b> Do you think that future plans should seek to promote economic activity in Ottery St Mary and if so to what extent should the emphasis be placed on providing new Greenfield sites?
Please provide comments below

<b>Question 13.3</b>		<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
<b>Directions for Growth in Ottery St Mary</b>		
Which broad areas of growth or development would you favour for Ottery St Mary?		
<b>Option 1 - A northerly expansion to Ottery St Mary.</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Land west of Butts Road/North Street is an area of parkland within the conservation area with an area of floodplain along the stream crossing the site.		
<b>Option 2 -</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
An easterly expansion to Ottery St Mary.		
<b>Option 3 –</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
A southerly expansion to Ottery St Mary.		
<b>Option 4 -</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
A westerly expansion to Ottery St Mary, beyond the floodplain		
<b>Option 5 -</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Directing development into the already developed parts and areas of Ottery St Mary.		
<b>None of these Options -</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Please mark this box if you consider that none of these choices is appropriate.		
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

<b>Question 13.4 - Supermarket Provision in Ottery St Mary</b>		
Do you support provision of a new supermarket in Ottery St Mary? (please mark one box only)		
<b>Yes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<b>Don't Know</b>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

## Chapter 14      Seaton

<b>Question 14.1 - Future Scale of Housing Growth in Seaton</b>		<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of allocated/identified land to accommodate future residential development would you favour for Seaton?		
<b>A higher level of development</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
of 300 houses (of which 120 could be affordable).		
<b>Limited level of Development</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
150 houses (of which 65 could be affordable).		
<b>Don't Support Any of these Choices</b>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Do not support or agree with any of these options.		
Please provide comments below to justify your response		

<b>Question 14.2 - Directions for Growth in Seaton</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Which broad areas of growth or development would you favour for Seaton?	
<b>Option 1</b> - A northerly expansion to Seaton, but keeping a green wedge between Seaton and Colyford.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 2</b> - An easterly expansion to Seaton.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 3</b> - Directing development into the already developed parts and areas of Seaton.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>None of these Options</b> Please mark this box if you consider that none of these choices is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 14.3 - Tourism in Seaton</b>
What future role do you consider that tourism should play in Seaton and how might the town change in the future to reflect changing tourism preferences and patterns?
Please provide comments below to justify your response

## Chapter 15 Sidmouth

<b>Question 15.1 – Future Scale of Housing Development in Sidmouth</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of allocated/identified land to accommodate future residential development would you favour for Sidmouth?	
<b>Higher Levels of Housing</b> - Development of around 500 dwellings could provide around 200 affordable dwellings which could go some way to meeting affordable housing needs in the town. But may mean significant use of Greenfield land.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Lower Levels of Housing</b> - Development of around 250 dwellings would meet some local affordable housing need and would see a more limited expansion to the town.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Don't Support Any of these Choices</b> - Do not support or agree with either of these options.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

<b>Question 15.2 - Future Employment and Commercial Development in Sidmouth</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What scale of new employment and commercial provision would you favour in Sidmouth?	
<b>Significant Employment Development</b> - This option could require significant greenfield development and could attract major inward investment and also potentially offer scope for relocation of poorly sited existing employment premises.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Modest levels of Employment Development</b> - This option would be likely to rely on small scale greenfield development and in-filling in the town.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Neither of these Options</b> - Please mark this box if you think neither of these approaches is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response and comment on specific job sectors or areas that you consider could be appropriate for and attracted to Sidmouth.	

<b>Question 15.3 - Directions for Growth in Sidmouth</b>	<i>(please mark all the boxes you consider appropriate)</i>
Which broad areas of growth or development would you favour for Sidmouth?	
<b>Option 1</b> A north-westerly expansion beyond the Woolbrook area	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 2</b> A north-easterly expansion beyond Sidford	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 3</b> An easterly expansion beyond Sid Road	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Option 4</b> Directing development into the already developed parts and areas of Sidmouth.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>None of these Options</b> Please mark this box if you consider that none of these choices is appropriate	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response	

## Chapter 16 Promoting Vibrant and Sustainable Villages and Rural Areas

<b>Question 16.1 - Defining Appropriate Locations for Village and Rural Development</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Which approach to defining appropriate locations for future village and rural development do you most favour?	
<b>Facilities Based Approach</b> - Would direct development to those villages with the greatest range of facilities and good public transport links.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Wider Issues Approach</b> - Would assess villages on the basis of a wide range of social, environmental and economic issues and seek to determine appropriate types of development for different villages on the basis of meeting locally identified needs.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Alternative Approach</b> - Please mark this box if you feel that neither the 'facilities based' nor the 'wider issues' based approach is appropriate and that a different approach to locating development should be taken.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response :	

<b>Question 16.2 - Housing and Affordable Housing in Villages and Rural Areas</b>		<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Which approach to market and affordable housing provision in villages and rural areas do you most favour?		
<b>Current affordable housing target</b> - This would retain a 40% target for affordable housing on sites of five or more dwellings in settlements with a population below 3000 persons.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Higher Affordable Housing Targets</b> - This could seek a higher affordable housing percentage (may be up to 60% or more) and/or lower thresholds perhaps as low as an affordable housing contribution for every single open market dwelling built.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Alternative Approach</b> - Please mark this box if you feel that neither the current policy approach nor one seeking higher affordable housing levels is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Please provide comments below to justify your response :		

<b>Question 16.3 - Community Led Affordable Housing (Exceptions Site Housing)</b>		<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
What approach to community led affordable housing (exceptions site housing) do you favour?		
<b>At villages with many facilities</b> - Only allowing exceptions site affordable housing at villages that have a wide range of services and facilities and good public transport.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>More widely spread in the countryside</b> - Allowing exceptions site affordable housing at or reasonably close to villages that have a wide range of facilities as well as those that have limited facilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Alternative Approach</b> - Please mark this box if you feel that neither approach is appropriate and that a different approach should be taken.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Please provide comments below to justify your response :		

<b>Question 16.4 - Restricting the Occupation of New Housing</b>		<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Do you favour restricting the occupation of new housing in parts of the AONBs to meeting local needs only?		
<b>Yes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>No</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Don't Know</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Please provide comments below to justify your response :		

<b>Question 16.5 - Agricultural Dwellings</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Which approaches to potential reuse of agricultural dwelling do you most favour?	
<b>Continue with current policy for lifting of agricultural ties</b> - which allows the lifting agricultural ties where the property is no longer required for an agricultural worker and no buyer who meets the terms of the condition can be found. The property can then be sold on the open market.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Take a more restrictive approach to the lifting of agricultural ties to limit their use to affordable dwellings</b> - This approach would allow the lifting of agricultural ties where the property is no longer required for an agricultural worker and no buyer who meets the terms of the condition can be found. But would limit the occupation of the property to local people in need	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Alternative Approach</b> - Please mark this box if you feel that neither of these approaches is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response :	

<b>Question 16.6 - Commercial and Community Facilities</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Which approach to commercial and community facilities provision in villages and rural areas do you most favour?	
<b>Continue with the current policy allowing</b> new local community facilities to be provided within or adjoining villages with Built-up Area Boundaries. This may allow for existing unsatisfactory village facilities to be redeveloped for other uses and new sites to be developed.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>A less restrictive approach to provision</b> of community facilities would allow such development in villages without Built-up Area Boundaries, where there was a proven need.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Alternative approach</b> Please mark this box if you feel that neither of these approaches is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Please provide comments below to justify your response:	

<b>Question 16.7 - Rural Employment</b>	<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Which approach to commercial development and rural enterprise do you favour?	
<b>Allow Development at or near to larger villages</b> - Continue with the current policy allowing new employment development to be provided within or adjoining villages with Built-up Area Boundaries.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Wider Dispersal Approach</b> - A less restrictive approach to provision of employment development would allow such development in villages without Built-up Area Boundaries.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Alternative Approach</b> - Please mark this box if you feel that neither of these approaches is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Please provide comments below to justify your response:</b>	

<b>Question 16.8 - Farm Diversification</b>		<i>(please mark one box only)</i>
Which approach to farm and rural business diversification do you favour?		
<b>Restricting schemes to small scale 'rural' activities</b> - This approach would see new farm diversification schemes restricted to small scale rural enterprises reusing existing buildings and for uses that add value to current farming enterprises.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Larger scale farm diversification and new business development</b> - This approach would allow for larger scale business in rural areas reusing modern farm buildings with scope for new buildings and for uses that are not necessarily related to the existing agricultural enterprises.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Alternative Approach</b> - Please mark this box if you feel that neither of these approaches is appropriate.	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Please provide comments below to justify your response:		

### Any Other Issues

<b>Any Other Issues</b>
<b>Are there any other issues or matters you would wish to raise or draw to our attention?</b>
Please provide comments below:

Please return this form to: Planning Policy Section, East Devon District Council, Council Offices, Knowle, Sidmouth, EX10 8HL or email [Idf@eastdevon.gov.uk](mailto:Idf@eastdevon.gov.uk)