

Question 2 – The scope of the local plan

In paragraph 2.2 of the Issues and Options report we propose producing a single plan, but it would be possible to produce a series of plans to cover the different issues. We asked which option people favoured.

Option 1 - Single Plan	Produce a single local plan covering all policy matters?
Option 2 – Multiple Plans	Produce a plan that deals with strategic matters (the bigger stuff) first and then subsequent plans that deal with more local concerns and detailed matters later?
Option 3 – Other	Do an alternative or neither of the above?

People that provided comment said.

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
FS-Case-297949549	Martin Thurgood	option1	Keep it simple.
FS-Case-299521339	mark readman Rockbeare Parish Council	option2	An overall plan to deal with strategic matters and National frameworks. In addition East Devon is a varied area with many different localities with their own set of concerns. Incorporation of Neighbourhood Plans both existing and emerging to deal with local matters will add detail for further subsequent plans.
FS-Case-299861217	Heath Nickels Exmouth Wildlife Group	option1	A single plan that covers all aspects and has inherent DESIGN principles and STANDARDS included, will mean it is a "one stop shop" for all interested parties.
FS-Case-300714787	Blank - No Name	option1	These need to be integrated and local people LISTENED to. Too often residents get very upset and angry when 'consultations' appear to be form only.
FS-Case-300872971	Ian Wasson	option 3	I don't fully understand why you are developing a new rather than revised LP when the current one is just 5 years old, and the government are considering a zonal approach in the future - surely better to review and wait and see?
FS-Case-301179421	Mark HUMPHRIES	option2	Plans need to have consistency from central planning, however local plans should be absolutely paramount.
FS-Case-301345304	JANICE ALEXANDER Devon Rural Housing Partnership	option1	Many of the plan policies are inter-related so it is essential that a holistic approach is used across all sectors of the plan so that policies can be integrated.
FS-Case-302640746	Hazel Jeffery	option2	Whatever you do probably needs to be iterative and updated.
FS-Case-303403737	Mr&Mrs Wood	option2	Need examples for this question. Don't understand what "the bigger stuff" and the smaller stuff might be?!
FS-Case-304210544	David Beazley Sainthill Baptist Church	option2	Beneath the overall plan there should be scope for specifics and variations at local levels.
FS-Case-304854668	John Catchpole None	option1	I believe a single plan has more impact and easier to review.
FS-Case-305076755	Jeff Powley	option1	Multiple reports simply gives East Devon council staff the excuse to waste more time generating politically motivated reports
FS-Case-305714384	Miles Butler	option1	But see comments below about Neighbourhood Plans
FS-Case-305765435	Aurora Aykroyd	option1	I do think all too often when developers are building housing Estates they segregate the planning of houses through financial means. This means that all the low income families and up in the same place which creates pockets of poverty and occasionally Encourages or exacerbates a problem of antisocial behaviour. This design style of Estates, creates not only an element of home shame, but is not only visually attractive to be have such clunky design. It also does create further social segregation. A much more holistic process would be to chop and change the houses so that it seemed more fluidAnd welcoming to all.
FS-Case-305767901	david stewart	option 3	fix the priorities as they do not match the views of the general public. Too much emphasis on PC stuff.
FS-Case-306175815	chistopher Burton	option2	I think a single plan would be too complex. Multiple plans would make it more efficient to respond to changes in our needs

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
FS-Case-306256851	Simon Rennie		no comment see objective 10 section 12 questions
FS-Case-306308166	Sylvia Meller	option2	There need to be the same rules for everyone, but there will be different situations that will need different plans
FS-Case-306915743	Catherine Kingham	option2	Strategic plans need strategic thinking. The smaller plans need to be addressed on a 1:1 basis as they affect all individuals in the location.
FS-Case-307126895	Daren Richards	option2	There should be a plan that covers large building companies and another that covers the general public
FS-Case-307127261	Julie Lewis	option1	Multiple plans can result in less cohesion
FS-Case-307313176	Jonne Ceserani	option1	Further to my comments above, I thin a single strategic plan is essential that includes more localised sections to deal with particular needs etc.
FS-Case-307482014	G. Millard	option2	It would be helpful if a complete 'Top-Down' Report could be provided to show how each Neighbourhood - Parish (?) - Local - District - Regional Plan related to each other. I believe there is confusion at so many 'Plans' and 'Consultations' . . . added to which, there is a feeling that whatever the residents may say, they're views are ignored, so why bother ??!
FS-Case-307693356	Michael Horn	option1	Multiple plans will bring problems of consistency and confusion.
FS-Case-308046866	Simon Greenslade	option1	The important thing is to produce one plan and start acting on it rather than wasting valuable sub dividing it into several smaller plans. Too much time is wasted in Councils and Governments by dithering and ot actually doing anything.
FS-Case-308052405	Derek Fish	option2	There is a risk of loosing important details with a single strategic plan.
FS-Case-308105398	Jane Ashton Please choose	option2	Think even if option 1 is taken first, it would almost inevitably have to become option 2 when Neighbourhood Plans are taken into consideration.
FS-Case-308105811	Timothy Bell	option2	Planning should be twofold: 1 - strategic planning outlining the objectives and ambitions of the areas, defining an overall strategy for East Devon; 2 - local planning based on the type of area that is represented rather than individual locality, for example rural areas, market town, village, AONB.
FS-Case-308111547	janice watkins	option1	the plan needs to be comprehensive and avoid confliting statements, this is best achieved in a single vison
FS-Case-308155966	Vivien Rands	option1	A clear and specific plan makes it easy for all to access and leaves less room for misinterpretation.
FS-Case-308158461	sally mumford george woods (sw)ltd	option2	the quality of life to residence that already live in the area. the roads , new school , new library , new shops ect to create a local sustainable village or town to provide local jobs and affordable housing
FS-Case-308168070	Norman Reeder	option 3	A single plan is unachievable, due to the timelines involved. I would propose the plan is broken down to manageable chunks that can be delivered either simultaneously by different departments or sequentially.
FS-Case-308171880	Colin Rivett	option1	Two years ago you held a vote for a development plan where the options were "Do you agree with the plan?" or "Do you not?" and I spoiled my paper because the choice was too polarised. Now, I think that a single plan will commit you to carrying out all of it. With many plans there is a danger that "the bigger stuff", unspecified, gets done and the other stuff runs out of budget, or just gets forgotten.
FS-Case-308199882	Bernadette Steadman	option2	it is easier to allocate work for subsequent plans to working groups, rather than take the whole council's time at every meeting.
FS-Case-308256653	Della Cannings	option2	A general 'apple pie and motherhood' report then more detailed reports for specific areas so West Hill not lumped in with Ottery St Mary or other unrelated areas and their needs
FS-Case-308268315	Tracy Simmons Cranbrook Town Council	option2	With a strategic plan being produced with more local plans coming forward to take account of local aspirations and better reflect existing neighbourhood plans to prevent uncertainty.
FS-Case-308288718	Emily Davis	option2	A plan needs to be slightly flexible. Very few foresaw the pandemic which has devastated many and has severely damaged the economy. However, there are core values that need to be addressed - preservation and improvement of current

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
			economy, preservation and improvement of current infrastructure, preservation of the historical, cultural, and environmental ethos, and the rebuilding of community, that must be the heart of any plan.
FS-Case-308324058	Jack Slim	option1	option 2 is a recipe for pushing through a few pieces of infrastructure without considering their ultimate effect. For example , they might drastically reduce or eliminate options for other policy matters.
FS-Case-308326362	Peter Eastwood N/A	option1	A single Plan is best as it will promote the best commitment of all parties involved. If it is broken down into multiple Plans those Planners, Contractors, Businesses will be prevented from controlling the Council and diverging from the wishes of those living in East Devon.
FS-Case-308401840	Duncan Harvey	option1	A single plan would help provide a district overview in the one document
FS-Case-308520714	Peter Brain	option2	Usually there are local factors which affect planning permissions and related decisions.
FS-Case-308527556	Kevin Staddon	option 3	Neither of the above, East Devon is rural / agricultural and should remain mainly that.
FS-Case-308588780	Patricia Boyd	option1	Multiple plans require more work and potentially dilute effort. They will represent evolving thoughts rather than at a particular time - it's better to build on a single report than guess which follows the other. More likely to introduce delays, duplication, lack of clarity and contradictions
FS-Case-308618646	Christopher Eccles	option2	makes more practical sense and gets the plan moving ahead quicker
FS-Case-308638086	Robin Barker	option2	Prefer to get the overall strategy agreed before we progress to the detail
FS-Case-308685349	Carol Wheeler Company Name (optional)	option1	Otherwise plans might disagree or cover same matter twice
FS-Case-308801635	L Martin	option1	Best not to be too ambitious ...its been a touch year for everyone. It would good if something would 'just happen'. I believe this would be best achieved with a single plan. Just do it!
FS-Case-308930499	Lisa Bowman Exmouth Town Council	option2	Members felt that dividing the Plan up enabled local matters to be updated more easily and Option 2 allows residents to get involved in the detail and for the Neighbourhood Plan to be considered fully.
FS-Case-308948443	Karin Goodfellow	option1	Multiple plans sound appealing in theory but in practice they are hard to manage effectively.
FS-Case-309058862	Suzie Mack	option2	How long will it take to complete a single plan? I would be concerned that wrong decisions could be made in the meantime if it takes years.
FS-Case-309114723	Robert Anthony	option2	The bigger and wider the plan, the less likely that private individuals will take part in the consultations
FS-Case-309142890	Camilla Mathison	option2	Maintaining some flexibility allows for responding to the unexpected.
FS-Case-309383731	Val Ranger	option2	I support multiple plans but not too many when it becomes difficult to cross check one with the other and where they start to contradict each other. Neighbourhood plans must still hold full weight.
FS-Case-309385676	Roger Pell	option 3	option 2 in reverse
FS-Case-309401093	Philip Jordan	option2	I think to break the plan down will encourage more participation from the public. Everyone will have more interests and may not want to do questionnaires on subjects that don't concern them.
FS-Case-309414876	Ross Hussey	option1	In reality I think this is the way government policy is heading, so there are advantages in getting an all-encompassing plan adopted relatively quickly. Otherwise the danger is that applications will be allowed on appeal in the absence of an up-to-date plan, and development in East Devon will be developer-led rather than plan-led.
FS-Case-309425268	Pamela Dean	option 3	A single plan would need to be more sensitive to local issues. Not do the BIG plan ignoring local needs
FS-Case-309456508	Ian Birch	option1	There are quite enough different plan fragments floating around that planners have to take account of, without adding to the burden by breaking up one of the existing

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
			levels. Indeed, I would like to see the current Villages and Gypsies/Travellers plans subsumed into the new LP.
FS-Case-309465143	Daisy mclauchlan	option2	If a single plan can ensure the minutia is included then that is the way forward. If not then Multiple plans may be required as long as they join up somehow.
FS-Case-309536955	Judith Kauntze	option2	Locals must be able to clearly see the impact on them and easily able to respond.
FS-Case-309561897	Maria Malinowska	option2	I read the entire plan. It is very long. A series of targeted plans would be more digestible and encourage accessibility and participation.
FS-Case-309583339	Andy Bourne	option2	As long as dealing with "more local concerns and detailed matters later" doesn't mean they get put on the back-burner and ultimately get forgotten.
FS-Case-309588076	Carol Jay Transition Exmouth		Provided that environmental concerns are paramount , either single or multiple plans can work .
FS-Case-309775222	Cheryl Wood	option 3	Do more than endless planning - Seaton Seafront Enhancement was in the last plan but hasn't been delivered
FS-Case-309879551	David Goodfellow	option2	Option 2 should enable incorporation of the Neighbourhood Plans that have been - and are continuing to be - prepared. It is vital, however, that if Option 2 is adopted in this way then the Neighbourhood Plans which inform the Local Plans need to be considered and respected at the time of preparation of the Strategic Plan.
FS-Case-310214396	Karan Bennett Bishops Clyst Parish Council	option2	Option 2 gives a more flexible approach. Local input must be sought in areas where there are particular problems to be addressed. Single issue plans may involve delay and confusion.
FS-Case-310479155	Mary Pike	option 3	Look for more Brown sites, and leave our beautiful Devon Countryside alone.
FS-Case-310810698	Janice Owen	option1	If you have more than one plan, people could get confused!
FS-Case-310963665	Wendy Rowing	option2	East devon is very diverse from farming communities to small hamlets to villages to towns and a city a single plan would be idiotic.
FS-Case-310965690	Victoria Serag-El-Din	option2	Option two would allow for a strategic direction to be laid out (the why) but allow a more incremental and tactile approach which might stand a better chance of delivering more immediate impact and benefit by way of being supported by smaller plans (the what and the how) for particular issues and initiatives. Having smaller plans that ree up to the wider strategy would allow for more measurable progress to be made against them and quicker decision making.
FS-Case-310982347	Peter WRIGHT	option2	This is clearly a huge and important undertaking. An overall plan seems an essential starting point but with specific 'addendums' featuring key issues like housing, transport, energy efficiency - to name a few!
FS-Case-311300471	David Gill	option 3	Just improve on what we have got, like improve the beaches, improve promenades, improve road surfaces, tackle overgrown bushes, do some weeding, cleaning etc
FS-Case-311441601	Janet Andrews	option1	I think simplicity in planning is best.
FS-Case-311676977	Rosalind Fox	option1	Producing multiple plans runs the risk of losing sight of the main objectives.
FS-Case-311693209	Jeremy Woodward Vision Group for Sidmouth	option2	Having one large plan covering all the items might not be the most useable format, whereas theme-based plans might be less weighty to use.
FS-Case-311868155	Martin Dowse	option2	All towns should have a local plan agenda that can then marry up to an East Devon local plan. It would be far fairer and democratic and allow towns a sense of being in control and not just an ignored backwater playing homage to the whims of the elite.
FS-Case-312184112	Linda Lowes N/A	option2	Small towns & villages have completely different needs to Exeter and their voices need to be heard and not eclipsed or obliterated by the needs and ambitions of Exeter.
FS-Case-312251067	Neal Jillings Place Land Ltd	option1	Whilst the suite of development plan documents in an LDF is a good idea in principle, my view is that one comprehensive plan document that is internally consistent is far preferable. Ease of production and making amendments should not take precedence over having a clear expression of development plan policy.

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
FS-Case-312255566	Stephen sadler	option1	I only agree with Option 1 if the Neighbourhood plans and local town councils have a proper say in the developments. Locals know about their area (flooding, social issues, traffic issues) as they live with them day in, day out therefore at the local level know better than beauracrates sat in their offices
FS-Case-312317917	Steven Walton	option2	The scope is much too far reaching to be covered with a single plan.
FS-Case-312449388	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	option1	Paragraph 17 of the NPPF clearly outlines the importance of strategic policies within plans. It highlights the importance of local planning authorities setting out their priorities for the development and land use. Strategic policies are key to setting out a clear vision for the development of the district. This comfort for landowners and developers can be undermined somewhat when there are numerous policy documents to review, increasing the risk of confusion and missed information. Our clients' agree with the Council's decision to adopt one single plan that clearly demonstrates the approach to planning matters for the district. This will give clarity to landowners and developers, rather than multiple plans.
FS-Case-312482944	Peter Bowler NA	option2	Decisions should be made locally and therefore a central plan is not a suitable medium.
FS-Case-312655834	Theresa Sanders	option2	to enable local community plans to be considered.
FS-Case-312744056	Christina Skinner	option2	Ensure local people are contacted and consulted before any new housing plans are passed.
FS-Case-312753468	Rosalind Buxton Luppitt Parish Council	option1	Single Plan maintains focus and speed but both rural and built up areas need to be represented equally.
FS-Case-312781179	Carine Silver	option2	Strategic plans (climate emergency, healthy lifestyle, biodiversity and waste), with other plans showing how they support these ends.
FS-Case-312793696	Margaret Hall West Hill Parish Council	option1	A Single Plan could have strategic policies and more local/detailed matters in other policies, as in the current Local Plan. The Cranbrook Masterplan could be incorporated as a section in the Local Plan.
FS-Case-313155665	Catherine Dandridge	option2	Strategic planning will enable best use of resources, experience, learning & finance for the benefit of East Devon a whole, however due consideration must be to the unique characteristics of each local area in East Devon.
FS-Case-313198806	Vicki Whatley	option1	Producing a single plan would presumably be less expensive than multiple plans but the option should be retained to look at parts in more detail as matters arise.
FS-Case-313459444	Mike Allen EDDC	option2	There needs to be one core Plan which is predicated on National Planning Guidance and which incorporates villages, towns and parish Neighbourhood Plans within an overall strategic document similar to the existing Neighbourhood plan with the maximum transfer of policies from the 2014 plan to avoid unnecessary change. This will help developers be comfortable that the maximum stability between plan periods is maintained.
FS-Case-313523282	Gary Barlow	option2	Plans never survive first contact with the enemy. So if you make 1 big plan that will immediately get ruined on day 1, it is a really bad idea to think you can try and make 1 plan to cover everything, everywhere. Really dumb.
FS-Case-313534234	Sally Galsworthy	option2	Gives scope for flexibility as technology progresses and economy changes
FS-Case-313542858	Judith Heathcock	option2	It may be able to provide more detail and cover more issues on multiple plans.
FS-Case-313613307	Madeleine Blu	option1	Employ all the latest online technical innovations for plan and map making and working online.
FS-Case-313618009	Elizabeth Twining	option2	Option 2 seems to offer more flexibility to respond to changes
FS-Case-313629840	Cathy Gardner	option1	has to be cost effective, doing more than one plan must cost more!
FS-Case-313672954	Brian Ward	option 3	Local Plan too large for people to read and understand in a single document.
FS-Case-313678330	june glennie	option2	smaller chunks more easy to understand

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
FS-Case-313693362	Gary Tubb	option2	One local plan will struggle to meet the needs and all criteria of relevance in any one area.
FS-Case-313698487	Craig Daley	option3	Again, you do not control what developers do in the area, therefore again this statement is null and void
FS-Case-313708247	Samantha Smith	option3	Local matters need attending first.
FS-Case-313718525	Robert Maynard	option3	Option 3 - Produce a plan that deals with the District-wide Strategy, policies and proposals, with more detail Inset Settlement Plans to cover the specific settlement policies and proposal within those areas.
FS-Case-313771026	John Connolly	option1	A single plan should ensure that there are no conflicts between various policy objectives.
FS-Case-313779995	Stephanie Hidson-Jones Seaton Town Council	option2	Option 2 would give the new Local Plan more flexibility meaning strategic matters could have a lifespan of fifteen years and the subsequent plans dealing with more local concerns and detailed matters could be updated every three to four years as required.
FS-Case-313849237	Kathleen Ellett	option1	All the issues are interlinked
FS-Case-313884230	Andrew Roberts	option2	The overall strategy needs to be set out 1st (see key items above) then others can follow on.
FS-Case-313969913	colin rundle	option1	all of the objectives are inter linked, and dependent. One plan seems sensible.
FS-Case-313997024	Richard Holman	option2	Any plan which is developed needs to be overseen by an independent or government source. leaving any development to EDDC with just lead to fudge and stalling at every level.
FS-Case-314096976	Peter Gilpin LED Leisure Management Ltd	option2	subsequent or simultaneous plans should include leisure; culture; housing; neighbourhood plans
FS-Case-314133727	Ian Jewson Walsingham Planning Ltd	option1	The preparation of a single plan will ensure that key issues are addressed at this review stage and not delayed for future consideration. A single plan will also provide certainty in relation to decision making. If necessary additional guidance can be provided in the form of Supplementary Planning Documents once the new plan is adopted.
FS-Case-314278250	Keith Bungay		The format of the existing Local Plan is preferred - a single Plan but one that also sets out more detailed guidance for the main towns.
FS-Case-314282919	Norah Jagers Beer Village Heritage, AONB Ambassador, JCT Ambassador	option2	The issues which affect rural areas/villages do not pertain to towns
FS-Case-314646596	Alex Pryor	option1	The whole thing needs to be cohesive, multiple plans might have less weight and hang together less well than a single plan will.
FS-Case-314758581	Peter Ball Kilmington Parish Council	option2	Multiple Plans – but make it clear that existing Local Neighbourhood Plans are included.
FS-Case-314760502	christopher Heal Private	option3	Produce a plan to deal with supporting and make more sustainable existing villages as in the NPPF para 78 to promote sustainable development in rural areas housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities... where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a village nearby as in the case of Ebford and Clyst St George where we have in excess of 45 businesses within an 800 meter radius of both communities, School, Church, Darts village shopping centre, cricket ground children's play areas and more. These should be considered before developing large areas of open agricultural land.
FS-Case-314771914	Ian Cherry	option2	A single plan means that any updates that may be needed to specific components or elements of an all encompassing plan because of changes in legislation, budgetary constraints, compliance or other external factors mean that the whole plan has to be revised. It is far more practical to have an overall strategic plan with specific plans

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
			for each of the key elements. It is also easier to assign responsibilities to each specific plan with the owner for that plan reporting to the owner for the overall strategy - this ensures the same standards and disciplines are used throughout.
FS-Case-314782794	Nicola Daniel	option1	Option 2 would be a developers charter.
FS-Case-314846841	Wendy Van Der Plank The Beehive-community complex	option2	There are always layers of complexity at local level and differing opinions, hence the local plans, many will need updating as the demographics change and so do housing needs and infrastructure needs. Feed the local neighbourhood plans into the bigger picture.
FS-Case-314937410	Eleonore Pang	option1	Must be a single plan as otherwise retaining the same criteria and equity throughout.
FS-Case-314943918	Des Senior	option2	It's normal in any planning process to outline overall objectives then drill down to the more detailed matter later. Having subsections allows these to be examined in detail and if necessary modified without needing to change the top level document.
FS-Case-315078619	David Venner	option 3	<p>The problem with creating a single plan, is that it takes longer to formulate and things are constantly changing, making it difficult to keep such a plan current and fit for purpose. There is also the potential issue of so many stakeholders with differing agendas and priorities to facilitate.</p> <p>The problem with creating multiple plans is that it is difficult to effectively manage timescales, priorities and costs, with local concerns often being watered down or totally neglected.</p> <p>Any plan MUST aim to get it right first time, and so preparation is key.</p> <p>IF the right stakeholders are engaged early on an Option 3 could entail coordinate parallel sets of plans, whereby local plans were created simultaneously to a master plan, ensuring that these fed into the master effectively. This would require strong effective management with the ability to be fluid to unforeseen changes caused by Social, Economic, Ecological and Political events, as well as any Legal, Ethical and Natural challenges.</p>
FS-Case-315100989	Elaine Wade	option2	Option 2 as long as the largest town does not get overlooked again in the milieu of local politics which seem to concentrate on other wealthier areas. Exmouth is key in ED, sandy beach and easy access, it is becoming an overflow for Exeter and brings with it anti social behaviour which is now leading to people leaving the area, or not being able to use the natural facilities available.
FS-Case-315126013	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	option1	Paragraph 17 of the NPPF clearly outlines the importance of strategic policies within plans. It highlights the importance of local planning authorities setting out their priorities for the development and land use. Strategic policies are key to setting out a clear vision for the development of the district. This comfort for landowners and developers can be undermined somewhat when there are numerous policy documents to review, increasing the risk of confusion and missed information. Our clients' agree with the Council's decision to adopt one single plan that clearly demonstrates the approach to planning matters for the district. This will give clarity to landowners and developers, rather than multiple plans.
FS-Case-315148483	Sara Arthur	option2	As long as the multiple plans are linked, these would give residents the opportunity to focus on objectives that are of particular interest.
FS-Case-315168747	Liz Shortland	option 3	I would like to see villages and towns produce their own plan, which then come together as a whole. I appreciate this would take time as committee decisions always do. The Multiple plan might work but one is always apprehensive that smaller local concerns would get lost in the whole and be forgotten.
FS-Case-315169040	Bryan Rayner	option1	A single plan is satisfactory provided that it is comprehensive as regards all relevant issues.
FS-Case-315216760	Elizabeth Campbell	option1	The overarching plan needs to be totally integrated with internal consistency.
FS-Case-315224273	ROY OSBORN	option2	LOCALISED PLANS CAN ENCOMPASS LOCAL ISSUES AT THAT LEVEL RATHER THAN A BROAD BRUSH APPROACH
FS-Case-315262947	Sam Scriven Jurassic Coast Trust	option1	A single plan provides the best way to secure policies that are connected and coherent as a whole
FS-Case-315275424	Rob Phillips Broadhembury	option1	East Devon is diverse in many respects. To ensure that diversity is recognised a single plan is required. Within that plan, consideration of the urban areas must

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
	Neighbourhood Community Land Trust (BNCLT)		always recognise the potential impact on the rural areas, in particular, encroachment of the urban into the rural requires constraint.
FS-Case-315287680	Jennifer Hiley-Payne N/A	option2	basic concepts sound positive, to improve rather than exploit the region.
FS-Case-315307476	Michael Leighton	option 3	Climate change should be a plan in it's own right as per Devon County Council and be part of that plan.
FS-Case-315423597	Kim Dearsly	option1	This has hung over communities for too long and needs addressing in the medium term.
FS-Case-315455012	Rachel Hughes	option1	I would rather wait for a considered, overall plan than option 2 or 3. Separately, on your local plan document, I think 2.5 would be a more representative statement with the insertion of 'older' between 'our' and 'residents' in line 1.
FS-Case-315476876	Graham Long Upottery Parish Council	option2	A higher level strategic plan should be completed first to set the overall priorities and boundaries and then local plans completed afterwards which address the specific needs of particular areas and address the different needs of urban and rural communities. For example: Parishes/villages in protected landscape areas such as AONB's; Coastal parishes/villages/towns; Parishes with trunk roads or motorways through them carrying heavy traffic volumes; Parishes which are effectively suburbs of towns or cities.
FS-Case-315539551	Gary Parsons Sport England	option 3	no comment.
FS-Case-315550412	Iain Fairbairn	option1	Local concerns should be covered by the bigger strategic view, if it has been well formulated.
FS-Case-315622017	Robert Martin Clyst Honiton Parish Council	option2	There is a need for urgency because, with the absence of an up-to-date Local Plan and a failure to have a 5-year bank of housing land available, developers would be free to determine where housing should go with little regard for the locally determined requirements. For this reason, it is suggested that having a core strategic set of policies in place quickly, backed up by a set of DPD's as time goes forward is probably the best option.
FS-Case-315666051	Olly Davey	option2	I think this would be more streamlined, and allow local place-specific consultation. It would also be more able to respond to changing circumstances.
FS-Case-315678300	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	option1	Paragraph 17 of the NPPF clearly outlines the importance of strategic policies within plans. It highlights the importance of local planning authorities setting out their priorities for the development and land use. Strategic policies are key to setting out a clear vision for the development of the district. This comfort for landowners and developers can be undermined somewhat when there are numerous policy documents to review, increasing the risk of confusion and missed information. Our client agrees with the Council's decision to adopt one single plan that clearly demonstrates the approach to planning matters for the district. This will give clarity to landowners and developers, rather than multiple plans.
FS-Case-315685113	Lauren James MMO	option1	I always find it more beneficial and easier to locate if it is within one document to avoid confusion. Then you can use the search function within one webpage rather than having to switch between them.
FS-Case-315690761	Naome Glanville	option1	I think a single plan will be able to give a consistent view across the district that people across the district can work to.
			NB - I think although the plan needs to co-operate with adjoining councils, we should stand our ground - no more GESP, and preserve what is good about East Devon - the countryside, biodiversity, a wonderful place for residents and visitors. I do NOT want us to become part of Exeter or their plans.
FS-Case-315760844	michael cooke	option1	Although it might be a large document I believe it will be easier to maintain. if you have multiple plans they will potentially get out of step and may conflict therefore leading to debates about what plan takes precedence when issues arise.
FS-Case-315804855	Sophie Minter	option1	One plan so that subsequent plans don't get ignored or set aside.
FS-Case-315884481	stephen moore Mr	option1	Local Plans appear always to be overtaken by events - political and other . Consequently the production of tiered / multiple plans would probably never get to deliver the local / lower tiered stuff and would instead be stuck at the strategic level . Nev'r good.

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
FS-Case-315937332	Fiona Anderson	option2	Let's have the big picture clear first - there is a climate emergency happening in front of our eyes. That is the strategic imperative and from that all other policies flow eg new green jobs, green housing, will flow.
FS-Case-315939416	linda aucott	option1	Multiple plans are too complex to comment on or understand.
FS-Case-315961800	David & Mrs Wendy Lewis	option 3	The important thing is that the strategic plan and the subsidiary plans are properly meshed, so that the master plan clearly informs and shapes all the subsequent ones. That can presumably be done in either a single document or linked ones.
FS-Case-315963133	George Koopman	option2	It is pointless going straight into too much detail until the overall strategy is agreed
FS-Case-315968014	Eleanor Cozens	option 3	Whichever is chosen, it will be essential that (1) there is enough specific detail, not leaving too much open to interpretation and (2) that they are 'joined up' at all levels.
FS-Case-315984133	Daphne CURRIER	option1	A single plan is more likely to provide an integrated approach , as issues will affect each other- clearly, there is no point in developing housing if there are no jobs in the vicinity e.t.c.
FS-Case-316012231	Terry Sweeney	option 3	Happy with one main Plan,but there will be design guides and other ' little stuff' which may be in annexes. A New Town Option may need its own document!
FS-Case-316016071	David Hayler none	option2	space to small to comment
FS-Case-316019373	Anthony Green	option1	this would provide maximum cohesion.
FS-Case-316023654	lauren allan		The need to prioritise in the face of limited funding is key. East Devon has a strange shape, so there needs to be overall strategy on roads e.g. large vehicles (full size school coach) on lanes with passing places. Just because Cullompton is in mid Devon, cannot excuse the poor public transport from Honiton to get there or the state of the road.
FS-Case-316035390	Daniel Lazar Membury Parish Council	option2	producing an overall single plan will take too long
FS-Case-316053199	Ben Evans	option1	Because if you go with Option 2 then timing will be key for production of the detailed plans and it may be harder to align all the plans.
FS-Case-316056741	Helene Jessop RSPB	option1	Including all objectives, strategic and more local policies and key detailed matters in a single plan makes it a comprehensive source of information that in our view will facilitate better understanding of and engagement with planning issues than multiple plans. However, if multiple documents are produced, they should be referenced in the main plan, which needs to include at minimum the objectives, policies and maps.
FS-Case-316057937	irene Wyndham	option 3	One plan please
FS-Case-316125851	Diana jennings	option1	Although on balance we think a single plan is the best option, this is on the proviso it takes full account of all local concerns.
FS-Case-316127402	Eleanor Rylance	option2	In order to tackle climate change adequately, we need to produce far more granular detail than the old plan provided for. Allowing people to live near where they work, and to work near where they live, in houses that do not require huge amounts of energy to heat or keep dry, is crucial. More self builds, more Passiv house standard and renewable heating, more small scale development in villages for local young people to set up home.
FS-Case-316127687	Lisa Turner Blackdown Hills AONB Partnership	option1	the idea of multiple plans is reasonable in theory, but I have seen over the years in other authorities that multiple plans can make it less clear for people, can get caught up in policy change and delays in the system, and good intentions do not always follow through to plan production.
FS-Case-316135438	George Williams Greensalde Taylor Hunt	option1	Paragraph 17 of the NPPF clearly outlines the importance of strategic policies within plans. It highlights the importance of local planning authorities setting out their priorities for the development and land use. Strategic policies are key to setting out a clear vision for the development of the district. This comfort for landowners and developers can be undermined somewhat when there are numerous policy documents to review, increasing the risk of confusion and missed information. Our clients' agree with the Council's decision to adopt one single plan that clearly

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
			demonstrates the approach to planning matters for the district. This will give clarity to landowners and developers, rather than multiple plans.
FS-Case-316136353	Bill Horner Historic Environment Team, Devon County Council	option2	This depends on the Plan's approach to the Historic Environment and if it does contain relevant objectives and policies for conservation and enjoyment of archaeology and historic landscape as well as historic buildings. There may be a need for a separate Archaeology/ Historic Environment Supplementary Planning Document.
FS-Case-316153559	Jacqueline Green	option1	To enable clear focus on getting defined policy achieved at all levels of the community
FS-Case-316158385	Sarah Jackson	option1	I am concerned that Option 2 would equate to 1 top level binding policy/plan and multiple sub plans given no more weight in law other than simple guidance to developers.
FS-Case-316159794	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	option1	Paragraph 17 of the NPPF clearly outlines the importance of strategic policies within plans. It highlights the importance of local planning authorities setting out their priorities for the development and land use. Strategic policies are key to setting out a clear vision for the development of the district. This comfort for landowners and developers can be undermined somewhat when there are numerous policy documents to review, increasing the risk of confusion and missed information. Our client agrees with the Council's decision to adopt one single plan that clearly demonstrates the approach to planning matters for the district. This will give clarity to landowners and developers, rather than multiple plans.
FS-Case-316160103	Julie Moore	option1	This should be able to be updated as a whole or in part when it becomes out of date. For example housing numbers need to be updated regularly whereas issues such as conserving the natural and built environments have remained relatively static for years.
FS-Case-316176196	Adrian Toole	option2	More chance of progress
FS-Case-316181550	Deborah Griffiths Devon Archaeological Society		No comments
FS-Case-316188495	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	option1	Paragraph 17 of the NPPF clearly outlines the importance of strategic policies within plans. It highlights the importance of local planning authorities setting out their priorities for the development and land use. Strategic policies are key to setting out a clear vision for the development of the district. This comfort for landowners and developers can be undermined somewhat when there are numerous policy documents to review, increasing the risk of confusion and missed information. Our clients agree with the Council's decision to adopt one single plan that clearly demonstrates the approach to planning matters for the district. This will give clarity to landowners and developers, rather than multiple plans.
FS-Case-316240170	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	option1	Paragraph 17 of the NPPF clearly outlines the importance of strategic policies within plans. It highlights the importance of local planning authorities setting out their priorities for the development and land use. Strategic policies are key to setting out a clear vision for the development of the district. This comfort for landowners and developers can be undermined somewhat when there are numerous policy documents to review, increasing the risk of confusion and missed information. Our clients agree with the Council's decision to adopt one single plan that clearly demonstrates the approach to planning matters for the district. This will give clarity to landowners and developers, rather than multiple plans.
FS-Case-316247167	Marcus Hartnell	option2	Subsequent detailed plans should be more dynamic and evolve otherwise they will be soon outdated.
FS-Case-316377163	John Sherwood	option1	There should also be an infrastructure delivery plan
FS-Case-316444654	Eva Ingleson	option1	One plan, provided it covers a long term view, will provide a more strategic approach.I
FS-Case-324952647	Lawrence Turner Boyer Planning	option1	See submitted representations

Ref No	Respondent	Q2	Question 2 Comment
FS-Case-324964822	Sarah Jackson	option1	I am concerned that Option 2 would equate to 1 top level binding policy/plan and multiple sub plans given no more weight in law other than simple guidance to developers.
FS-Case-324977684	Simon Collier Collier Planning	option 3	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-324988465	Simon Collier Collier Planning	option 3	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-324999075	David Morgan Not Applicable	option1	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325010263	Nick Freer David Lock Associates		Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325022897	DLP Planning DLP Planning DLP Planning	option1	See attached letter
FS-Case-325041454	East Devon and Tiverton & Honiton CLPs	option2	· Important to leave the neighbourhood plans open for towns and parishes to design and construct. · Deal with the broader strategic issues to provide a framework for neighbourhood plans.
FS-Case-325070883	Emma Russell	option1	A single plan should lead to greater connectivity between objectives, plans and outcomes, but the ultimate plan should be clear on priorities so that accountability for delivering and timetable for delivery is clear to the constituents.
FS-Case-325085151	Matthew Kendrick Grass Roots Planning	option1	See Separate Representations Document
FS-Case-325107229	George Williams Greenslade Taylor Hunt	option1	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325124589	Glynnis Poole LiveWest	option1	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325131855	Michelle Dobrota-Gibbs N/A	option2	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325156112	Peter Dobbs	option2	Please refer to submitted representations.
FS-Case-325752618	Stephen Morgan-Hyland Maddox Planning	option1	Please see accompanying letter.
FS-Case-325812938	Nick Matthews Savills	option1	See attached.
FS-Case-325819281	Nick Matthews Savills	option1	See attached report.
FS-Case-325829612	Dan Yeates Savills	option1	Please refer to submitted representation.
FS-Case-325831870	Cem Kosaner Lichfields	option1	Please see attached comments.
FS-Case-325838141	Dan Yeates Savills	option1	Please refer to submitted representations.